201081US3

"RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116-EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 3752 "

TED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

ziermen en /

Hiroshi FUKUMOTO, et al. : EXAMINER: KIM, C.

SERIAL NO.: 09/750,664

FILED: January 2, 2001 : GROUP ART UNIT: 3752

FOR: LIQUID SPRAYER

## **REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Official Action dated March 4, 2003, favorable reconsideration of this application in light of the following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-11, and 17-18 are presently active in this case. The Applicants note that Claim 1 is generic to all of the pending claims.

The Official Action indicated that only Claims 1-3, 17, and 18 are pending in the present application. However, Claims 4-11 have not been canceled from the application, and therefore remain pending.

In the outstanding Official Action, Claims 1-3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The specific grounds for rejection are repeated on page 3 of the Official Action.

In response to the questions regarding the phrase "a field applier forming an equipotential surface" in line 4 of the Claim 1, the Applicants note that the equipotential

OC Jo Ester